

Solution Exercise 1.

1. (a) $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, x - x = 0$, then $(x - x)$ is a multiple of 2 and 3, hence \mathcal{R} is reflexive.
 (b) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x\mathcal{R}y$.

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{R}y &\implies (x - y) \text{ is a multiple of 2 and 3.} \\ &\implies -(x - y) \text{ is a multiple of 2 and 3.} \\ &\implies (y - x) \text{ is a multiple of 2 and 3.} \\ &\implies y\mathcal{R}x \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{R} is symmetrical.

- (c) Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x\mathcal{R}y$ and $y\mathcal{R}z$.

$$x\mathcal{R}y \text{ and } y\mathcal{R}z \implies \exists k, k', l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}, (x - y = 2k \text{ and } x - y = 3k') \text{ and } (y - z = 2l \text{ and } y - z = 3l')$$

then,

$$x - z = (x - y) + (y - z) = 2k + 2l = 2(k + l) \text{ and } x - z = (x - y) + (y - z) = 3k' + 3l' = 3(k' + l')$$

hence $x\mathcal{R}z$, i.e. \mathcal{R} is transitive.

2. (a) $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, x - x = 0$, then $(x - x)$ is a multiple of 2 or 3, hence \mathcal{P} is reflexive.
 (b) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x\mathcal{P}y$.

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{P}y &\implies (x - y) \text{ is a multiple of 2 or 3.} \\ &\implies -(x - y) \text{ is a multiple of 2 or 3.} \\ &\implies (y - x) \text{ is a multiple of 2 or 3.} \\ &\implies y\mathcal{P}x \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{P} is symmetrical.

- (c) Let $2, 4, 7 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

- $2\mathcal{P}4$ because $2 - 4 = -2$ is a multiple of 2 despite it is not a multiple of 3, but the definition of \mathcal{P} gives the possibility for $2 - 4$ to be a multiple of 2 **or** a multiple of 3.
- $4\mathcal{P}7$ because $4 - 7 = -3$ is a multiple of 3 despite it is not a multiple of 2, but the definition of \mathcal{P} gives the possibility for $4 - 7$ to be a multiple of 2 **or** a multiple of 3.
- But $2\not\mathcal{P}7$ because $2 - 7 = 5$ is neither a multiple of 2 nor a multiple of 3.

Then \mathcal{P} is not transitive.

3. (a) $\forall (a, a') \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, a + a' = a + a' \implies (a, a')\mathcal{S}(a, a')$, then \mathcal{S} is reflexive.
 (b) Let $(a, a'), (b, b') \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a, a')\mathcal{S}(b, b')$.

$$\begin{aligned} (a, a')\mathcal{S}(b, b') &\implies a + a' = b + b' \\ &\implies b + b' = a + a' \\ &\implies (b, b')\mathcal{S}(a, a') \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{S} is symmetrical.

- (c) Let $(a, a'), (b, b'), (c, c') \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a, a')\mathcal{S}(b, b')$ and $(b, b')\mathcal{S}(c, c')$.

$$\begin{aligned} (a, a')\mathcal{S}(b, b') \text{ and } (b, b')\mathcal{S}(c, c') &\implies a + a' = b + b' \text{ and } b + b' = c + c' \\ &\implies a + a' = c + c' \\ &\implies (a, a')\mathcal{S}(c, c') \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{S} is transitive.

The relations \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are equivalence relations.

Solution Exercise 2.

1. Let's show that \mathcal{P} is reflexive. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\cos^2 x + \sin^2 x = 1$ hence, $x\mathcal{P}x$, i.e. \mathcal{P} is reflexive.
2. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x\mathcal{P}y$.

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{P}y &\implies \cos^2 x + \sin^2 y = 1 \\ &\implies 1 - \sin^2 x + 1 - \cos^2 y = 1 \\ &\implies \cos^2 y + \sin^2 x = 1 \\ &\implies y\mathcal{P}x \end{aligned}$$

then \mathcal{P} is symmetrical.

3. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x\mathcal{P}y$ and $y\mathcal{P}z$.

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{P}y \text{ and } y\mathcal{P}z &\implies \begin{cases} \cos^2 x + \sin^2 y = 1 \\ \cos^2 y + \sin^2 z = 1 \end{cases} \\ &\implies \cos^2 x + \sin^2 y + \cos^2 y + \sin^2 z = 2 \\ &\implies \cos^2 x + \sin^2 z = 1 \\ &\implies x\mathcal{P}z \end{aligned}$$

then \mathcal{P} is transitive.

We conclude that \mathcal{P} is an equivalence relation.

Solution Exercise 3.

1. (a) Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $x - x = 0 = 7 \cdot 0$, hence $x\mathcal{R}x$. \mathcal{R} is reflexive.
- (b) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x\mathcal{R}y$.

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{R}y &\implies \exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, x - y = 7m \\ &\implies y - x = 7m' \text{ with } m' = -m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &\implies y\mathcal{R}x \\ &\implies \mathcal{R} \text{ is symmetrical.} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, $x\mathcal{R}y$ and $y\mathcal{R}z$.

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathcal{R}y \text{ and } y\mathcal{R}z &\implies \exists m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}, x - y = 7m \text{ and } y - z = 7m' \\ &\implies x - z = 7m'', \text{ with } m'' = m + m' \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &\implies x\mathcal{R}z \\ &\implies \mathcal{R} \text{ is transitive.} \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{R} is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} .

2. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\bar{x} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}, y\mathcal{R}x\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} y\mathcal{R}x &\iff \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, y - x = 7m \\ &\iff y = 7m + x \end{aligned}$$

This means that the equivalence class of $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the set of the integers y whose remainder of its Euclidian division by 7 is x . But in the Euclidian division of an integer y by 7, the remainder can be one of the following : 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and no one more. That is, any integer divided by 7 has one of the previous remainder. So some¹ integers will have as remainder 0, other ones will have 1 as remainder and so on till the last integers who have 6 as remainder, and hence no more integers are left. So the equivalence classes are : $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{5}, \bar{6}$

1. I wrote *some* but the reality is that there are infinite integers who have the same remainder.

3. The quotient set is :

$$\mathbb{Z}/\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{5}, \bar{6}\}$$

Solution Exercise 4.

1. (a) Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ then $p^1 = p$, hence $\exists n = 1 \in \mathbb{N}^*, p^n = p$ i.e. $p\mathcal{T}p$, that is \mathcal{T} is reflexive.
(b) Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $p\mathcal{T}q$ and $q\mathcal{T}p$.

$$\begin{aligned} p\mathcal{T}q \text{ and } q\mathcal{T}p &\implies \exists n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*, p^n = q \text{ and } q^m = p \\ &\implies (q^n)^m = q \\ &\implies q^{nm} = q \\ &\implies n = m = 1 \text{ because } n, m \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ &\implies p = q \\ &\implies \mathcal{T} \text{ is antisymmetric.} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $p\mathcal{T}q$ and $q\mathcal{T}r$.

$$\begin{aligned} p\mathcal{T}q \text{ and } q\mathcal{T}r &\implies \exists n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*, p^n = q \text{ and } q^m = r \\ &\implies (p^n)^m = r \\ &\implies \exists l \in \mathbb{N}^*, p^l = r \text{ with } l = nm \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ &\implies \mathcal{T} \text{ is transitive.} \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{T} is an order relation.

2. \mathcal{T} is a partial order. Take for example $p = 3$ and $q = 4$, $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, p^n \neq q$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, q^n \neq p$ that is $p\not\mathcal{T}q$ and $q\not\mathcal{T}p$.